Background

Ecstasy is regarded as a recreational drug containing 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA). Exposure to MDMA produces long-term neurotoxic damage to neurons in the brain [1, 2]; moreover, its administration leads to neurotoxic effects on serotonin (5-HT) neurons [3-5]. The side effects of this drug have been shown to cause physiological changes, such as anxiety, depression, and memory impairment [6-9]. Administration of MDMA to animals induces anxiolytic [10, 11] and anxiogenic-like behaviors [12]. The neurodegeneration effects of MDMA exposure can persist for several months after administration [1, 4, 12]. The mention disorders may require psychopharmacological treatment with antidepressant drugs [13]. On the other hand, MDMA has antidepressant-like effects in animals [10].

The antidepressant drugs, such as selective 5-HT reuptake inhibitors and serotonin noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs) attenuated hyperthermia, psychotropic, and cardiovascular responses to MDMA [14]. Duloxetine (Dlx), a member of the SNRI family, inhibits the reuptake of both serotonin and norepinephrine into presynaptic neurons [15]. It has been shown that the SNRIs can improve anxiety, depression, withdrawal syndrome, and neurodegeneration resulting from methamphetamine and MDMA [16-18].

There are controversial reports about the duloxetine effect in memory. Duloxetine has cognitive improvements in patients with depression [19] and induces positive effects on affective bias test in rats [20]; however, it has no effects on cognition, memory, and consolidation in rodents [21, 22]. The chronic treatment of duloxetine reversed cognitive dysfunction in stressed mice [23]. Duloxetine has a protective effect on the memory impairment effect of methamphetamine [18]. It is not known that acute duloxetine use has desirable effectiveness following acute exposure to MDMA [19]. The MDMA is a synthetic illicit drug that can mainly damage the brain [24]. The mechanisms
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Abstract

Background and Objective: 3, 4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA) is used for recreational purposes worldwide. The use of MDMA resulted in learning and memory dysfunction. Duloxetine, a serotonin/noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor is also utilized to treat depression and anxiety. The current study aimed to evaluate the effects of duloxetine against MDMA’s effect on anxiety, cognition, and memory disturbance in the male rats.

Materials and Methods: Wistar rats received treatment of saline (10 ml/kg; sham group), “MDMA” (10 mg/kg), “Duloxetine” (10 mg/kg), and Duloxetine plus MDMA (10 mg/kg, each), or no treatment (control) through the intraperitoneal administration for four days. The elevated plus maze (EPM), passive avoidance learning (PAL), Morris water maze (MWM), and novel object recognition (NOR) tests were employed to evaluate the anxiety, memory, and cognition.

Results: The MDMA increased the time spent in open arms in EPM, time spent in the dark part of PAL, and swimming time to reach the platform in MWM. Furthermore, duloxetine inhibited the reduction of the discrimination index, time spent in the dark compartment, and time spent on the platform in NOR, PAL, and MWM tests among rats received MDMA. Moreover, duloxetine decreased time spent in open arms and the target quadrant in EPM and MWM tests.

Conclusion: Our findings suggested that duloxetine treatment attenuated the MDMA-induced anxiolytic response and could improve MDMA-induced cognitive impairment and disturbance in learning and memory.
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of the duloxetine have been demonstrated in preclinical and clinical trial studies [17-19, 25]; however, there is no available evidence about duloxetine effects on the MDMA treatment in one week.

**Objectives**

This study aimed to investigate the effect of duloxetine treatment following MDMA administration on anxiety, cognition, and memory in male rats.

**Materials and Methods**

A total of 50 male adult Wistar rats weighing 260-300 g were used in this study (Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran). All animals were kept under standard conditions (22±2°C under 12 hours light/12 hours dark cycle) with free access to food and water. The investigations were conducted following the Guide for Care and Animal Rights Protection Act that was published by the national institutes of health (Publication No. 85-23, 1985).

**Drug and experimental groups**

The MDMA was obtained from the Drug Control Headquarters of the Police, Iran. The purity and quality of the MDMA have been proved in previous studies [26, 27]. Following that MDMA and Duloxetine (Darou Pakhsh Pharmaceutical Co., Iran) were dissolved in physiological saline (9% NaCl) and intraperitoneally injected (10 ml/kg) to the treatment groups in accordance with guidelines reported by previously conducted studies [17, 28, 29]. The animals were randomly divided into five groups of eight rats per group. The control group did not receive any treatment, and the sham received saline (10 ml/kg; i.p.); moreover, the ecstasy (Ext) group received (10 ml/kg) MDMA every other day, and the Dlx group treated with duloxetine every other day. The last group (Dlx+Ext group) received duloxetine and MDMA for 4 days (duloxetine every other day).

**Elevated plus maze test**

The anxiety behavior was measured by the elevated plus maze test (EPM) apparatus. The black wooden maze has two enclosed arms (10×40×50 cm) and double opened arms (10×50 cm), and the elevated maze has a 50-cm height above the ground. A digital camera was utilized for 10 min to record the time spent on the open arms, time spent on the closed arms, number of entrances into the open arms, and number of entrances into the closed arms.

**New object recognition test**

The apparatus of novel object recognition (NOR) is made of a wooden open box (35×43×40 cm). The habituation phase was performed in an empty box without an object for 5 min. The training session was performed two hours later with two similar objects for 5 min. Similarly, the symmetrical objects two hours later were faced with each other to the floor of the box. Exploratory behavior was defined as the animal sniffing or placing less than 2 cm from the objects. The test session was given 24 h after the training trial. A novel object in the test trial was exchanged by one of the objects. The discrimination index (DI) was defined as the difference in time of exploration for the novel and familiar divide by the total time [22, 30].

**Passive Avoidance Learning test**

The apparatus is the same as that in our studies [31, 32]. The transparent plastic apparatus has a light part (20×20×30 cm) and a dark part (20×20×30 cm). The guillotine’s opening walls (6×8 cm) separated light and dark chambers. The floor of the dark compartment consisted of rods (stainless steel, 3 mm diameter) linked to a shock generator (0.4 mA, 50 Hz for 1.5 s).

**Training**

The training trials were conducted following those in a previously conducted study [33]. The distance travel and escape latency (time to reach the platform) was measured for 60 sec. Each rat received four trials with eight blocks by a 60-min interval resting time. After each trial, the rats were dried with a towel and placed in a home cage near heating.

**Retention test**

The rats were tested 24 h after acquisition trials. The time latency during the test was considered STLr. Moreover, the time of the black part staying was recorded as TDC for 5 min.

**Morris water maze test**

The Morris water maze (MWM) test evaluated the hippocampal spatial learning and memory (155×60 cm in diameter and height). The pool has four quadrants and a video-computer tracking system recorded the rat swimming for learning trials and tests.

**Training**

The training trials were performed following those in a previously conducted study [23]. The distance travel and escape latency (time to reach the platform) was measured for 60 sec. Each rat...
received four trials with eight blocks by a 60-min interval resting time. The soft towel and heating were used to dry animals after each trial.

Retention test
The retention test was performed 24 h after the learning trials. The platform was removed at the target quadrant for the probe test. The rats had to swim for 90 sec and the time spent on the target quadrant was recorded using a video-tracking system for 60 sec.

Results
Effect of MDMA and Duloxetine on Elevated Plus Maze
The results of one-way ANOVA showed a significant difference among the groups in terms of the time spent on the open arms (F [4,32]=22.356, P< 0.001, Figure 1A). Moreover, the Ext group spent more time on the open arms, compared to the control (P<0.001), sham (P<0.01), Dlx (P<0.001), and Dlx+MDMA (P<0.001) groups. On the other hand, the Dlx group spent less time on the open arms, compared to the control and sham groups (P<0.001 and P<0.01, respectively). Furthermore, the Dlx+MDMA-treated animals spent less time on the open arms, compared to the sham and control animals (P<0.05).

The findings obtained by one-way ANOVA also revealed a significant difference regarding the time spent on the closed arms (F [4,32]=46.810, P<0.001). Moreover, the MDMA decreased the time spent on the closed arms in Ext rats, compared to the control, sham, Dlx, and Dlx+MDMA groups (P<0.001). It is worth noting that there were no other significant differences among the groups (Figure 1B).

Effect of MDMA and Duloxetine on Novel Object Recognition Test
The results of analysis by one-way ANOVA indicated a significant difference among experimental groups in terms of DI (F [4,32]=3.864, P<0.01, Figure 2). The DI of rats receiving MDMA was significantly less than that in the control and sham groups (P<0.01). There was no significant difference among control, sham, Dlx, and Dlx+MDMA groups in this regard.

![Figure 1](image-url)  
*Figure 1.* Effects of MDMA and duloxetine treatment on the elevated plus maze. Time spent on the open arms (A) and time spent on the closed arms (B) in the elevated plus maze test. Data are shown as mean±SEM. $$$: P<0.001, $$: P<0.01, and $: P<0.05$, compared to the control group. @@@: P<0.001, @@: P<0.01, and @: P<0.05, compared to the sham group. &&&: P<0.001, compared to the MDMA group.
Effect of MDMA and Duloxetine on Passive Avoidance Learning

A significant difference was observed among the groups regarding STLr by one-way ANOVA (F [4, 31]=1.25, P<0.01). Furthermore, the Tukey posttest showed that the STLr of the MDMA group was less than that of the control, sham, and DLx groups (P<0.01, P<0.01, and P<0.05, Figure 1A). A noteworthy difference in terms of TDC has been revealed among the groups by ANOVA (F [4, 30]=13.85, P<0.001). Tukey's posttest analysis indicated that TDC in the MDMA group was significantly more than that in the control, sham, DLx, and DLx+ MDMA groups (P<0.01). There were no differences among the control, sham, DLx, and DLx+ MDMA groups in terms of STLr or TDC (Figure 3B).
Effect of MDMA and Duloxetine on Morris Water Maze

A significant difference was observed among the groups in terms of escape latency by two-way ANOVA analysis (F [4, 116] = 67.656, P < 0.001), time (F [3, 116] = 43.105, P < 0.001); however, there was no difference among the experimental groups regarding interaction (F [12, 116] = 1.06, P = 0.398 > 0.05) (Figure 4A). The escape latency of the Ext rats was more than that of the control group at first, second, third, and fourth trials of learning (P < 0.001, P < 0.001, P < 0.001, and P < 0.001, respectively). The Dlx-treated rats had a lower time of escape latency, compared to the MDMA group at first, second, third, and fourth trials (P < 0.05, P < 0.01, P < 0.001, and P < 0.001, respectively). The Dlx+MDMA group obtained a higher time of escape latency than the control in first, second, third, and fourth trials (P < 0.05, P < 0.01, P < 0.001, and P < 0.001, respectively). Furthermore, the escape latency of the Dlx+MDMA group was more than that of the sham group in second, third, and fourth trials (P < 0.001); however, it was lower than that in the Ext group in the third (P < 0.05) and fourth (P < 0.001) trials. There was no difference among the experimental groups in terms of the treatment and time interaction.

The two-way ANOVA indicated a significant difference among the groups in terms of distance travel at treatment (F [4, 116] = 125.57, P < 0.001) and time (F [3, 116] = 13.085, P < 0.001), not their interaction (F [12, 116] = 1.06, P = 0.398 > 0.0) (Figure 4B). The Ext-treated rats obtained more distance.
travel, compared to the control and sham groups in first, second, third, and fourth trials (P<0.001). Furthermore, the distance travel of the Dlx group was more than that of the control and sham groups in first (P<0.001, P<0.01), second (P<0.05, P<0.05), third (P<0.01, P<0.05), and fourth (P<0.01, P<0.05) trials. The distance travel of the Dlx group was more than that in the second (P<0.05), third (P<0.001), and fourth (P<0.001) trials. The Dlx+MDMA group displayed more distance travel, compared to the control and sham groups in first, second, third, and fourth (P<0.001, P<0.001, P<0.001, P<0.001) trials. According to the results of one-way ANOVA, a significant difference was observed among the groups in terms of time spent on the target quadrant (Figure 4C). Furthermore, the MDMA rats spent less time on the target quadrant, compared to the control and sham groups (P<0.01, P<0.01). Additionally, the Dlx+MDMA group obtained lower time, compared to the control (P<0.001), sham (P<0.001), and Ext (P<0.05) groups. There was no significant difference among control, sham, and Dlx rats in this regard.

Discussion
The present study investigated the effects of duloxetine and MDMA on anxiety, cognition, and memory. The administration of duloxetine into MDMA-treated rats attenuated the anxiolytic effect of MDMA and memory impairment followed by cognition and reacquisition improvement. The duloxetine can be effective against MDMA-induced cognitive disturbance and memory impairment. Furthermore, duloxetine can attenuate the anxiolytic response of MDMA in rats.

The MDMA administration into rats impaired cognition the same as reacquisition memory in passive avoidance learning (PAL) tasks. This result is in line with the findings of the previous studies in which MDMA induced cognition deficit and memory impairment in rodents [8, 9, 18, 29]. Furthermore, MDMA reduces the serotonin content of the brain, as well as the density of neurons and astrocytes in the hippocampus [34]. The harm effect of MDMA is significant in the brain area, which is involved in learning and memory [24]. The neurodegenerative effect of MDMA resulted in cognition deficit and memory impairment in rats. However, the current study did not evaluate the number of neurons in the hippocampus and cortex. This study showed a decrease in the time spent on the closed arm and an increase in the time spent on the open arm in rats after MDMA treatment, which indicates an anxiolytic-like effect of MDMA in the EPM test. The results of our study are consistent with the findings of other studies conducted on the animals [10, 11] and reported the anxiolytic-like effect of MDMA at a dose of 10 mg/kg [35]. The other researches showed an anxiogenic-like effect of MDMA at different doses [12, 36].

Another finding of the current study showed that duloxetine could reduce the anxiolytic effect of MDMA. It is demonstrated that duloxetine can improve cognition, anxiety, and depression-like behaviors induced by Methamphetamine [18]. Duloxetine has a neuroprotective role against the neurodegeneration effect of drug abuse [17]. Treatment with duloxetine improved cognition in NOR, learning in MWM, and memory in PAL tasks. These results indicate that duloxetine can reduce the memory impairment effect of MDMA. Previous studies have reported the protective effect of duloxetine on the memory impairment effect of methamphetamine [18]. The mechanisms of MDMA and duloxetine influence on anxiety, cognition, and memory are complex. The MDMA is a synthetic abused drug and significantly harms the brain [24]; moreover, it is a neural stimulant that induces a greater release of serotonin followed by a lesser release of dopamine and norepinephrine into the synaptic cleft [14, 24, 37]. Duloxetine is an antidepressant, which is used for the treatment of anxiety and major depressive disorder [38, 39]. The serotonin reuptake and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor duloxetine inhibit MDMA effects [14] and decrease the psychotropic responses to MDMA [16]. On the other hand, MDMA induces serotonergic dysfunction [5] through mitochondrial dysfunction in brain structures that are involved in learning and memory [3, 8, 9]. The other findings suggest that duloxetine can protect the brain against drug abuse, such as methamphetamine, possibly via Cyclic AMP response element-binding and brain-derived neurotrophic factor proteins signaling pathway [18]. In addition, duloxetine, possibly modulates cyclic AMP response element-binding and brain-derived neurotrophic factor signaling pathway [17]; furthermore, it can modulate drug abuse induced inflammation [40] and oxidative stress [17, 41].

The current study showed that treatment with duloxetine without MDMA had no effects on the cognition and memory; however, it increased anxiety behavior. This finding is in line with the results of previous studies that reported no obvious effect of acute treatment on cognition, memory acquisition, or consolidation in rodents [25, 42]. Nevertheless, the chronic treatment of duloxetine has cognition related benefits in depressed patients [19]. Duloxetine treatment showed anxiolytic or
lack of changes in anxiety effect followed by chronic treatment in rodents [18, 42]. In the present study, the anxiogenic effect of the produced duloxetine followed acute treatments in rats. Duloxetine as an antidepressant compound induced anxiogenic behavior in the experimental model of anxiety in mice [21, 22]. Chronic treatment is necessary for the anxiolytic-like response of duloxetine [21, 22].

**Conclusions**

The present study showed that MDMA administration impaired cognition, avoidance, and spatial memory and induced the anxiolytic-like behavior in the EPM test. Furthermore, duloxetine acute treatment without MDMA induced anxiety and did not affect cognition and memory. Following that, duloxetine administration attenuated the MDMA-induced spatial and avoidance memory impairment. Moreover, duloxetine significantly improved cognition following MDMA treatment. Therefore, duloxetine may be useful to improve the harm effect of MDMA on cognition, learning, and memory. Further studies are required to investigate the impact of duloxetine on the treatment of the patients.
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