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ABSTRACT

Background: Obesity in adolescents is associated with not only physical and psychological problems but also a decrease in educational performance.

Objectives: This study aimed at determining the behavioral and psychological factors associated with academic performance in overweight and obese girl students in Kermanshah, Iran.

Materials and Methods: This cross-sectional study was performed on 419 overweight and obese female high school students of Kermanshah in 2017 selected through two-stage cluster sampling. Data were collected using the standard questionnaires, including high school students’ academic performance, Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale, Goldberg’s General Health Questionnaire, Littleton’s Body Image Concern Inventory, physical activity subscale of Walker’s Health-Promoting Lifestyle Profile II, and Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index. Data analysis for structural equation modeling was performed using the IBM SPSS AMOS V. 21 software.

Results: Self-esteem was found to have significant direct (β=0.412) and indirect (β=0.142) effects on academic performance, with direct effects being stronger (P<0.001). Body image, physical activity, general health, and sleep quality were found to have a significant direct effect on academic performance (P<0.05). However, these factors also had a significant indirect effect on this variable through the mediation of general health (P<0.05). Overall, these variables accounted for 35% of the variance in academic performance.

Conclusion: Self-esteem, body image, physical activity, and sleep quality affect the academic performance of obese and overweight girl high school students not only directly but also indirectly through general health. The results of this study demonstrated the mediating role of mental health in predicting the academic performance of overweight and obese girl students.
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Introduction

Obese people have a lower Intelligence Quotient (IQ) and exhibit poorer performance, memory, and attention than people with normal weight [6-8]. Academic performance, which means the extent of learning in educational settings [9], plays an important role in academic achievement and is a strong predictor of career and social success in adulthood [10]. It has not yet been established whether obesity affects academic performance directly or as a mediating factor for other causes, such as decreased self-esteem, anxiety, depression, social exclusion, and reduced physical health [11].

Mental health is a state of well-being, in which people realize their abilities to cope with stress [12]. Mental health and overweight are inversely correlated [13]. Furthermore, low mental health is associated with behavioral problems, such as academic failure [14, 15]. Self-esteem refers to one’s sense of overall value or worth and value and is another factor that decreases with an increase in body mass index (BMI) [16]. For those with self-esteem more strongly influenced by appearance and acceptance by society, obesity and its unpleasant image can have a more negative impact on self-esteem [17]. Students with higher self-confidence and self-esteem are more successful in school and have higher academic performance [18].

Body image is another factor affecting the academic performance of obese students. Body image is a multidimensional and complex psychological construct that includes one's body-related self-perceptions and self-attitudes, including thoughts, beliefs, feelings, and behaviors [19]. Studies have shown that multiple dimensions of body image are associated with academic performance problems. For example, concerns about height and weight may be the most important factor affecting the relationship between body image and academic achievement [20, 21].

Physical fitness and sleep also affect academic performance. Higher physical fitness levels are associated with better learning by improving the basal ganglia function in the brain, which is critical for cognitive processes, and also other parts involved in the language learning process [22, 23]. Research has shown that poor sleep quality, sleeping late, waking up early in the morning, and disrupted sleep can affect learning capacity, academic performance, and neurobehavioral function [24, 25]. The effects of these factors have not yet been examined on the academic performance of the Iranian obese and overweight students using structural equation models. Considering the effects of obesity on academic performance, as well as the higher prevalence of obesity in Iranian female adolescents compared with males, identifying the factors influencing the academic performance of these students is of great importance. This study aimed at determining the direct and indirect effects of factors associated with academic performance in overweight and obese girl students using structural equation modeling.

Materials and Methods

Study design

This correlational study using the structural equation modeling (SEM) was conducted on female students at public high schools in Kermanshah, Iran in 2017. This study was approved by the National Research Ethics Committee of Iran (Code: IR.IAU.KHUUISF.REC.1398.049). Accordingly, two (out of three) educational districts of Kermanshah were randomly selected followed by selecting eight schools from each district randomly. Two-stage cluster sampling was used to select the students of each school. According to the SEM design of the study, the needed sample size should be at least 15 times more than the number of observed variables (Hooman, 2005 quoted from Ganji 193) [26]. Therefore, the sample size was estimated at 390 cases. Considering the possibility of incomplete responses, the questionnaires were distributed to 419 students to ensure the adequate sample size. Following referral to the schools, after making necessary coordination with the principals, obese and overweight students who were eligible for the study and were willing to participate...
were identified and the written consent was obtained. Students with a medical condition affecting weight or height (e.g. severe heart, kidney, liver, neurological, digestive, thyroid, rheumatic, and skeletal problems or cancer) were excluded. Students taking supplements or drugs affecting weight or height or those on a specific diet were also excluded.

After sampling, the subjects’ height and weight were measured by healthcare professionals. Weight was measured without shoes and with minimal clothing using a CAMRY scale Model EB320 with 100-gram accuracy. Height was also measured with a wall-mounted height gauge with 0.5-cm accuracy. BMI was calculated by dividing the weight (kg) by the square of height (m²). Overweight and obesity were determined based on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) classifications. Accordingly, the BMI at the 85th to 94.99th percentiles and a BMI greater than or equal to the 95th percentile can be used to identify children of the same age and gender who are overweight and obese [2].

Other data were collected using a questionnaire. To ensure the confidentiality of information, the questionnaires were given identification codes. After providing the necessary explanations, the questionnaires were completed by the students.

Self-esteem was assessed using the Rosenberg Self-esteem Scale (RSES), which is a 10-item unidimensional scale with two possible answers (agree and disagree), in which higher scores indicate higher self-esteem. The test-retest reliability of this scale for a 3-week interval has been measured as 0.78 [27].

Body image was measured using the Body Image Concern Inventory (BiCl) developed by Littleton et al. (2005). This inventory consists of 19 items, which assess the respondents’ perception of their body on a 5-point Likert scale from 1 (never) to 5 (always). The reliability of this inventory has been confirmed with Cronbach’s alpha of 0.93 and the items correlating with the total score in the range of 0.32 to 0.73, indicating its acceptable reliability. The validity of BiCl has been confirmed by determining its correlation coefficient through the Body Dysmorphic Disorder Questionnaire (P<0.01, r=0.83) [28].

General health was measured using the General Health Questionnaire designed by Goldberg and Hillier (1979). It consists of 28 items and four subscales of physical symptoms, anxiety, social dysfunction, and depression, which are scored on a 4-point Likert scale (higher scores indicate poorer general health). Using the test-retest, split-half, and Cronbach’s alpha methods, the reliability of this questionnaire has been obtained 0.70, 0.93, and 90%, respectively [28]. In the present study, this questionnaire was found with a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.83.

Physical activity was measured using the Health Promoting Lifestyle Profile (HPLPII). The 34-item HPLPII has four subscales of health responsibility (9 items), physical activity (8 items), nutrition (9 items), and stress management (8 items). In this study, only Nutrition and Physical Activity subscales of this scale were used. The scale is scored on a 4-point Likert scale (never, sometimes, often, and routinely). The total score of the HPLPII is obtained by averaging the scores of responses given to 34 items. Higher scores indicate a healthier lifestyle. According to the Mohammedian report, HPLPII enjoys a good internal consistency (α=0.86). The Cronbach’s alpha for different domains of this scale has been reported 70%-77% [29]. In the present study, Cronbach’s alpha of 0.83 was obtained for this scale.

The sleep quality of the students was measured using the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI). PSQI consists of 19 questions, which are scored on a 4-point Likert scale from 0 to 3. This questionnaire has seven subscales: 1. Subjective sleep quality; 2. Sleep latency; 3. Sleep duration; 4. Sleep efficiency; 5. Sleep disturbance; 6. Sleep medication use; and 7. Daytime dysfunction due to sleepiness. The total score of PSQI is the sum of the seven scores of the subscales ranging from 0 to 21. A total score of more than 6 indicates poor sleep quality. PSQI has been reported with a Cronbach’s alpha of 89% and the validity of 86% [30]. In the present study, Cronbach’s alpha of 0.75 was determined for PSQI.

The academic performance of the students was measured using the standard questionnaire of high school students’ academic performance consisting of 60 binary questions. The questions 11, 12, 17, 18, 20, 23, 24, 26, 30, 32, 33, 35, 38, 39, 41, 44, 48, 50, 51, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 59 and 60 are answered by yes (1) or no (0), but other questions have the opposite scoring pattern (yes=0; no=1). In the present study, this questionnaire had a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.84. To obtain the total score of this scale, scores of all questions should be summed together (ranging from 0-60), with higher scores indicating better academic performance and vice versa [31]. In previous studies, Cronbach’s alpha of this questionnaire for Iranian students has been reported to be 82% [31].

The conceptual model of the study was designed through a systematic review of the variables related to the
academic performance of overweight and obese students. Using this model, the relationships between the many variables affecting academic performance were then developed into a structural equation model (Figure 1).

Data analysis

Data were analyzed with IBM SPSS AMOS V. 21 software using descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, and standard deviation) and analytical statistics (correlation and structural equation modeling). The normality of data was established using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and skewness/kurtosis analysis. The variance inflation factor (VIF) was obtained 1.05 for physical activity variables, 1.72 for self-esteem, 1.50 for sleep quality, 1.45 for body image dissatisfaction, and 1.80 for general health. Since the obtained VIF values were less than 3, there was no significant multicollinearity between the research variables.

SEM was used to evaluate the direct and indirect effects of latent and observed variables in the model on the students’ academic performance. The Comparative Fit Index (CFI), the Goodness of Fit Index (GFI), and Normed Fit Index (NFI) of greater than 0.90, Root Mean Square Error Of Approximation (RMSEA) of equal to or less than 0.05, and χ²/df in the range of 1-3 were considered to indicate a good model fit. The structural equation model of the study was validated by the goodness of fit indices typically used for this structural analysis. For the developed model, all goodness of fit indices were higher than 0.90, and RMSEA was 0.039 (Table 1). Model estimations were performed using the Maximum Likelihood Estimation method (MLE). In all analyses, P<0.05 was assumed statistically significant [32].

Results

A total of 419 girl high school students with a mean age of 16.10±0.89 years participated in the study. The mean score of depression was 6.78±6.29, the mean score of self-esteem was 3.70±3.98, and the mean score of sleep quality was 5.44±1.45. Also, the mean score of students’ academic performance was 42.55±2.86 (Table 2).

All studied variables had a significant correlation at the P<0.01 level with academic performance. Self-esteem (r=0.550), stress (r=-0.466) and depression (r=-0.523) were found with strong correlation with academic performance. Physical activity showed the least correlation with academic performance (r=0.183) (Table 3).

The results of SEM analysis showed that physical activity had both direct and indirect effects on students’ academic performance; however, its indirect effects were stronger. Self-esteem was also found to have significant direct (β=0.412) and indirect (β=0.142) effects on academic performance, with direct effects being stronger.

**Table 1.** Goodness of fit indices computed for the developed structural equation model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>χ²/df</th>
<th>RMSEA</th>
<th>GFI</th>
<th>AGFI</th>
<th>NFI</th>
<th>CFI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42.884</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.649</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>0.991</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Descriptive characteristics of the research variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>Skewness</th>
<th>Kurtosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>5.20±7.59</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>6.78±6.29</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social functioning</td>
<td>10.37±3.98</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical scale</td>
<td>7.26±3.46</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-esteem</td>
<td>3.70±5.61</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>-0.71</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep quality</td>
<td>5.44±2.86</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body image dissatisfaction</td>
<td>46.98±17.04</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired social functioning</td>
<td>21.58±7.77</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>14.88±4.79</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic performance</td>
<td>42.5±8.12</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The direct effect of sleep quality on academic performance was negative and also smaller than the indirect effect. The indirect effect of sleep quality on academic performance through the mediation of general health was statistically significant (β=-0.166, P<0.001). Body image dissatisfaction directly (β=-0.185) and indirectly (β=-0.057) affected academic performance and also general health had a direct effect on academic performance (β=-0.057, P<0.001). In the developed structural equation model, body image, physical activity, general health, self-esteem, and sleep quality accounted for 35% of the variance in academic performance (Table 4). The direct and indirect effects of variables associated with academic performance are illustrated in Figure 2. 

Table 3. Matrix of correlation coefficients for the relationships between research variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Stress</th>
<th>Depression</th>
<th>Social Functioning</th>
<th>Physical Scale</th>
<th>Body Image dissatisfaction</th>
<th>Impaired Social Functioning</th>
<th>Self-esteem</th>
<th>Sleep Quality</th>
<th>Physical Activity</th>
<th>Academic Performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>0.671*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social functioning</td>
<td>-0.368*</td>
<td>-0.458*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical scale</td>
<td>0.641*</td>
<td>0.457*</td>
<td>-0.157*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body image dissatisfaction</td>
<td>0.414*</td>
<td>0.471*</td>
<td>-0.387*</td>
<td>0.302*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired social functioning</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>0.388*</td>
<td>-0.237*</td>
<td>0.348*</td>
<td>0.705*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-esteem</td>
<td>-0.540*</td>
<td>-0.704*</td>
<td>0.554*</td>
<td>-0.376*</td>
<td>-0.566*</td>
<td>-0.377*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep quality</td>
<td>0.572*</td>
<td>0.483*</td>
<td>-0.320*</td>
<td>0.510*</td>
<td>0.315*</td>
<td>0.307*</td>
<td>-0.405*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
<td>-0.137*</td>
<td>0.276*</td>
<td>-0.031</td>
<td>-0.091</td>
<td>-0.086</td>
<td>0.187*</td>
<td>-0.130*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic performance</td>
<td>-0.466*</td>
<td>-0.523*</td>
<td>0.452*</td>
<td>-0.329*</td>
<td>-0.452*</td>
<td>-0.325*</td>
<td>0.550*</td>
<td>-0.303*</td>
<td>0.183*</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05

Table 4. Standardized coefficients of direct, indirect, and overall effects of variables in the structural equation model developed for participating students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Variables</th>
<th>Direct Effect</th>
<th>Indirect Effect</th>
<th>Overall Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity → Academic performance</td>
<td>0.08*</td>
<td>-0.041*</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-esteem → Academic performance</td>
<td>0.412**</td>
<td>0.142**</td>
<td>0.554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep quality → Academic performance</td>
<td>-0.064</td>
<td>-0.166**</td>
<td>-0.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body image dissatisfaction → Academic performance</td>
<td>-0.185**</td>
<td>-0.057***</td>
<td>-0.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health → Academic performance</td>
<td>-0.429**</td>
<td>-0.429</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity → General health</td>
<td>-0.096*</td>
<td>-0.096</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-esteem → General health</td>
<td>-0.330**</td>
<td>-0.330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep quality → General health</td>
<td>0.386**</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body image dissatisfaction → General health</td>
<td>0.133***</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R² of the model: 0.355

*P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001
Discussion

To the best of the authors’ knowledge, this was the first study in Iran to evaluate the effect of self-esteem, body image, mental health, physical activity, and sleep quality on the academic performance of obese or overweight female students using SEM. The results of this study showed that students’ self-esteem had both direct and indirect effects (through general health) on their academic performance, with direct effects being stronger. In line with this result, numerous studies have shown that higher levels of self-esteem are associated with better academic achievements [33-36] and affect self-esteem and depression symptoms [37], indicating the mediating role of general health in this relation. Some studies have found no association between self-esteem and academic performance or have found them to be negatively correlated [38, 39]. However, different results can be achieved by considering their indirect relationships and the role of general health.
Low self-esteem is considered a risk factor for aggression, depression, poor academic performance, etc. [40]. To explain these findings, it can be stated that self-esteem is one of the determinants of human behavior [41] and acts as the core of psychological structures that protect the person against psychological stress. A person with a high sense of self-worth can easily cope with external stressors without experiencing negative emotions [42].

In the present study, it was observed that physical activity increased academic performance both directly and indirectly (through general health). This is consistent with the findings of Coe et al. [43] and Chen et al. [44] studies, in which students who participated in physical activities had significantly higher grades than those whiteout physical activities.

To explain this relation, it can be argued that given the effect of physical activity and exercise on physical and mental wellbeing, and generally on general health, they positively affect the person's self-perception, self-esteem, and self-confidence and reduce the extent people are affected by physiological pressures and stress. This effect leads to an increase in learning and productivity and mental abilities development, which ultimately positively affects academic achievement [45].

Reduced self-esteem, body image dissatisfaction, social exclusion, and isolation are the consequences of obesity [8], which can affect the adolescent's social functioning. The results of this study showed a significant direct relationship between general health and dissatisfaction with body image. This is consistent with the findings of a study by Soltani, in which body image was positively correlated with mental health [46]. To explain this relationship, it can be argued that anxiety, stress, and psychological stress are among the most important causes of obesity in children and adolescents. Anxiety disorders lead to emotional eating, which is associated with obesity, and also lower mental health levels can predict one's BMI. Higher BMI is associated with more negative body image in girls [47].

People with a high BMI are dissatisfied with their body image. In adolescents, this dissatisfaction often leads to dissatisfaction with the body, which can result in depression and anxiety disorders. It has shown that multiple dimensions of body image are associated with academic underperformance. Among these dimensions, concerns about height and weight have the strongest effect on the relationship between body image and academic achievement. The results of the present study also confirmed these findings, as body image dissatisfaction had negative direct and indirect effects on academic performance.

We found sleep quality with significant direct and indirect effects on academic performance, because as sleep quality increases (its score decreases), mental health increases as well, leading to improved academic performance. This finding is in line with the findings of Zang et al. (2017), who reported that poor sleep quality was associated with an increase in mood disorders, anxiety, behavioral disorders, and poor perceived physical health [48]. In a study by Pavia, adolescents with sleep deprivation had more frequent health complaints and complaints about health and physical pain were significantly more frequent among girls than boys [49]. Poor sleep quality affects learning capacity and academic performance [50, 51]. Sleep is a basic human need and essential for preserving and maintaining energy and physical well-being. During sleep, hormones, such as norepinephrine, serotonin, and growth hormones are secreted, which trigger changes in chemical reactions and cellular nutrition that prepare the body for the resumption of activity [52]. People with sleep disorders experience not only fatigue but also defects in cell repair, difficulty in thinking, memorizing, and learning, and increased stress. Insomnia causes hormonal, behavioral, and physical disorders [53] because the brain processes information during the night’s sleep, and it affects the physical, affective, and cognitive functions of the person throughout the day [24, 50, 51].

The results of this study showed mental health with a strong statistically significant direct relationship with academic performance. Different aspects of mental health have widely shown linked to academic and learning performance. Depression in people with mental disorders is characterized by reduced energy and interest, a sense of guilt, difficulty concentrating, anorexia, and rumination about death, and suicide. It is also associated with changes in levels of physical activities, cognitive abilities, sleep condition, appetite, and other biological rhythms [54]. Depressed people have no motivation to pursue their goals. Depression in students causes them to think negatively and stop trying to make progress. Such negative behaviors lead to continued despair, which often leads to reduced academic performance [26]. People who experience emotional disturbances and impaired mental health for different reasons can exhibit them in different ways. These individuals often suffer from problems, such as poor self-concept, academic underperformance, social exclusion, inability to communicate with peers, and poor adherence to social rules, which have a direct impact on their learning process [25].

The present study had limitations, of which a large number of questions in used questionnaires resulted in
fatigue and decreased the accuracy of the participants. The results of this study cannot be generalized to other age groups and male students.

Conclusion

This study found that self-esteem, body image, physical activity, and sleep quality affect the academic performance of obese and overweight students not only directly but also indirectly through general health. Therefore, to improve the academic performance of these students, special attention should be paid to their general health (mental health) and its dimensions.
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