Comparison of the Efficacy of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy and Dialectical Skills Training on Symptoms of Men Suffering from Borderline Personality Disorder
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Abstract

Background: Borderline personality disorder (BPD) is a common disorder, associated with different biological and psychological parameters. However, further research is required to determine the optimal therapeutic methods and conditions, which can produce better outcomes for BDP patients.

Objectives: This research aimed to compare the effects of cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) and dialectical behavioral therapy (DBT) skills training on the symptoms of male patients with BPD.

Methods: This comparative quasi-experimental study was performed with a pre-test, post-test design on 3 groups (2 experimental and 1 control). The statistical population consisted of all male patients, hospitalized at Farshchian Psychiatric hospital, affiliated to Hamadan University of Medical Sciences from April to October 2016. Availability sampling method was applied to select the subjects, and simple random sampling was used for replacement of subjects. In this study, out of 36 selected patients, 27 were studied. The patients’ symptoms were evaluated, using BPD Severity Index (BPDSI). The study was carried out in 3 stages of initial assessment, therapeutic intervention, and follow-up. Drug therapy, along with CBT, was implemented for the experimental group 1, while DBT skills training and drug therapy were applied for the experimental group 2. To analyze the data, repeated measures ANOVA was performed, using SPSS.

Results: The findings showed that both CBT and DBT skills training could reduce the symptoms of BPD \( (F[2, 24], 42.276, P < 0.05) \).

Conclusions: With respect to the effect size measurements for CBT (Eta2, 0.67) and DBT skills training (Eta2, 0.85), it can be concluded that the effect size of DBT skills training was greater than CBT in reducing the symptoms of BPD.
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1. Background

Borderline personality disorder (BPD) is a common disorder worldwide (1). Different symptoms of BPD include unstable relationship patterns (with fluctuations between 2 extremes of idealization and devaluation), unstable self-image, impulsive behaviors, repetitive self-mutilating or self-destructive behaviors, emotional instability due to mood reactions, and severe anger or paranoia relative to stress (2).

BPD is the most common personality disorder in psychiatric environments (3). In general, the prevalence of BPD has been estimated at 1.6% - 5.9%. In addition, the prevalence of BDP is 0.6% in primary care environments, 10% in mental health clinics, and 20% in clinical environments. In general, about 75% of BDP patients are women (4). Moreover, suicide attempts have been reported in 84% of BPD patients (5), while successful suicide has been found in nearly 10% of the patients (6). About 80% of BPD patients show self-mutilating behaviors (7). In addition, over 75% have reported experiences of sexual abuse in their childhood (8).

There are numerous biological and psychological parameters, related to BPD (9). BPD originates from the interactions of genetic, biological, social, and psychological factors, disturbed family environment, parent’s pathologies, and inadequate parenting styles (7). Previous studies have shown that emotional dysregulation disturbs proper processing of emotions (10).

BPD comorbidity is mostly reported in patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) (11). In addition, adults and youths with BPD show common etiologies and pathologies (12). BPD patients, who have a higher education and more educated parents, show a better neuropsychological performance (13). On the other
hand, women with BPD have more unstable and hostile relationships with men (14). However, regular skills training on emotional intelligence can be successfully implemented for inpatients with BPD and depression to improve both emotional intelligence and depression (15).

Today, there are different therapeutic approaches for BPD. Quoting Ganderson et al. Chapman and Gratz discussed the scarcity of research on the efficacy of these approaches with a random control system (1). In the past decade, cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) has been the most common therapeutic method for BPD. Moreover, in recent years, use of dialectical behavioral therapy (DBT) has been highlighted (7).

CBT is based on 2 major principles. First, our cognition has major effects on our behaviors and emotions, and second, our behavior or performance has strong effects on our thought patterns and emotions (16). The DBT skills include mindfulness skills, interpersonal effectiveness skills, emotional regulation skills, and distress tolerance skills (trained individually or in groups) (17).

Several studies have confirmed the efficacy of CBT (18, 19), while some have revealed the effectiveness of DBT (1, 3, 20, 21). There is some evidence on the efficacy of DBT and CBT in BPD treatment (22). On the other hand, Verheul believes that recent evidence on DBT does not support the applicability of this method for BPD treatment (7). Moreover, some studies have reported conflicting results on the efficacy of CBT among patients (23).

2. Objectives

Some clinical evidence shows that CBT is an effective treatment for BPD, while several studies have reported conflicting results. CBT and DBT skills training are found to be effective in BPD treatment; however, widespread research is required on the underlying requirements in different societies and cultures. As these requirements can be determined through controlled studies on the effects of treatment on the symptoms, the results of the present study can be used to select the most effective therapeutic method in Iranian populations.

The main aim of the present study was to compare the efficacy of CBT and DBT skills training on the symptoms of male patients with BPD. In addition, the applied aim of this study was to select the most effective method in hospital settings in order to reduce medical costs and length of hospital stay.

3. Methods

This quasi-experimental study was performed with a pre-test, post-test design on 3 groups, including 1 control and 2 experimental groups. The statistical population consisted of all male patients, hospitalized at Farshchian Psychiatric Hospital, affiliated to Hamadan University of Medical Sciences from April to October 2016. The patients had received no psychological therapy for BPD. Availability sampling method was used to select the subjects, and simple random sampling was applied to replace the subjects in the groups. Considering the possibility of dropout and conformity of the groups, the number of representative subjects was determined to be 12 per group (total, 36).

The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) diagnostic signs of BPD based on the diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5); 2) diagnosis of BPD based on the protocol of clinical interview for DSM-5; 3) minimum education of junior high school; 4) age range of 18-35 years; 5) no history of psychological therapy; 6) absence of markers for other mental disorders (except drug abuse); 7) absence of comorbid physical diseases; 8) absence of medical records at the psychiatric ward; and 9) suicide attempts before hospitalization.

On the other hand, the exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) signs of other mental disorders; 2) BPD patients undergoing psychotherapy during hospitalization; 3) BPD patients avoiding psychological or drug therapy; and 4) discharge from hospital with personal consent during the study.

The symptoms were measured using BPD severity index (BPDSI) in semi-structured interviews by therapists to evaluate the frequency and severity of specific signs of BPD. This index, which was first introduced by Weaver and Clus in 1993, consists of items on 9 criteria of BPD. Arntz et al. examined its reliability and validity in 2003 and made a few modifications. The Cronbach’s alpha was determined to be 82%. Moreover, Gisen-Bloo et al. reported the internal consistency and Cronbach’s alpha to be 96% and 85%, respectively. The construct validity and concurrent validity were acceptable for BPDSI. Moreover, Salavati used the split-half method for each scale of the translated Persian version and reported a reliability coefficient of 85% in the pre-test, post-test evaluation (1).

This research was carried out in 3 stages. The first stage was the initial assessment, in which each representative subject from each group was interviewed using BPDSI, and the initial score was determined as the pretest score; the measurements were done before any therapeutic intervention such as drug therapy. The second stage included the therapeutic intervention. CBT was applied for the experimental group 1, DBT for the experimental group 2, and drug therapy for both the experimental and control groups. The second score was measured using BPDSI.

The control group did not receive any type of psychotherapy, except drug therapy, whereas both experimen-
tal groups used drug therapy. CBT was applied, along with
drug therapy for the experimental group 1, based on the
brief CBT session structure in 12 weekly sessions (2.5 hours
each), using Davidson’s CBT guidelines for personality disorders and group CBT protocol (24).

Group DBT skills training was implemented in 12
weekly sessions (2.5 hours each), along with drug therapy
for the experimental group 2, based on the principles of
DBT skills training, using Linhan’s protocols (1). In the
third stage (follow-up), 1 month after treatment termination,
each subject was interviewed using BPDSI, and the third score was measured.

In this study, 3 subjects from the CBT group (2 before
the follow-up and 1 in the follow-up), 2 subjects from the
DBT group (1 before the follow-up and 1 in the follow-up),
and 4 subjects from the control group (2 before the follow-
up and 2 in the follow-up) refused to participate in the
study (9 subjects). As the score of each subject was calcu-
lated in both experimental and control groups (with BPDSI
in 3 stages), the scores of the groups could be compared.
Repeated measures ANOVA and analysis of variance were performed to analyze the data, using SPSS. For ethical con-
siderations, a written consent was obtained from all the participants.

4. Results

The summary of the participants’ age is presented in
Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>CBT</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25.66 ± 1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DBT</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25.80 ± 1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25.62 ± 1.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results showed that the mean pretest BPDSI score
was 39.34 (SD, 4.22) in the CBT group. In addition, the mean
BPDSI score in the pre-test was 39.73 (SD, 3.73) in the DBT
group and 46.74 (SD, 4.34) in the control group. On the other hand, the results showed that the mean BPDSI score
in the post-test was 16.58 (SD, 1.94) in the CBT group, 12.90
(SD, 1.81) in the DBT group, and 21.17 (SD, 1.76) in the control
group. Moreover, the results showed that the mean BPDSI score in the follow-up was 20.49 (SD, 1.63) in the CBT group,
13.48 (SD, 1.81) in the DBT skills training group, and 27.22 (SD,
1.56) in the control group. The summary of the results of mixed ANOVA (between- and within-subjects) is presented in
Table 2.

According to the results presented in Table 2 for between-subjects factors, stages, and groups, the F-value
for the effect of stages (pre-test, post-test, and follow-up)
was significant in the experimental and control groups at
0.05 (Eta2, 0.49; F, 11.28; P < 0.05). Therefore, there was a
significant difference in the mean pre-test, post-test, and
follow-up scores of BPDSI between the groups.

According to the results presented in Table 1 for within-
subject factors, the F-value was significant at 0.05 (Eta2,
0.49; F, 42.28; P < 0.05), showing a significant difference
in the total mean score of BPDSI in the groups. Bonferroni
 correction was performed to explore the differences in the
mean scores of the groups (Table 3).

The results presented in Table 3 show that the BPDSI
score in the control group was significantly different from
the CBT and DBT skills training groups; there was also a sig-
nificant difference between the CBT and DBT skills training
groups. The comparison of adjusted means showed that the
BPDSI score in the DBT skills training group (M, 22.04)
was lower than the CBT group (M, 25.47); also, both groups
obtained lower scores, compared to the control group (M,
31.71).

In total, 2 methods of CBT and DBT skills training in-
fluenced the severity of BPD. The BPDSI scores reduced re-
markably in the posttest and follow-up, compared to the
pretest. Although the trend of changes was less variable in
the follow-up than the posttest, a significant reduction was
reported in the pretest, showing the efficacy of therapy in
the experimental groups.

With respect to the effects of CBT and DBT skills train-
ing on the severity of BPD, the efficacy of these methods
was examined separately for the CBT (Eta2, 0.67) and DBT
skills training (Eta2, 0.85) groups, compared with the con-
trols. Based on the Cohen’s formula, Eta2 of 0.01 indicates a
small size effect, 0.06 a medium effect size, and 0.14 a large
effect size. Both CBT and DBT skills training were more
effective in reducing the severity of BPD, compared with
drug therapy; also, greater effects were attributed to DBT
skills training.

5. Discussion

The main aim of this research was to compare the ef-
effects of CBT and DBT skills training on symptoms of BPD in
male patients. Although research-based evidence has sup-
ported the efficacy of different methods against BPD, DBT
has received the most empirical support. The results of the
present study are consistent with studies on the effects of
CBT (18, 19), DBT (1, 3, 20, 21), and CBT-DBT on BPD (22). On the
other hand, the results of this study are inconsistent with
those reported by Virheul, claiming that the existing evi-
dence does not support the efficacy of DBT as a proper treat-
Table 2. Summary of Mixed ANOVA Results for Between- and Within-Subject Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Sources of Change</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>The Mean of Squares</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Partial Eta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Within-subjects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic stages</td>
<td>9851.74</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>8086.83</td>
<td>1242.31</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept group</td>
<td>178.90</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>73.43</td>
<td>11.28</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>190.32</td>
<td>29.24</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between-subjects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>1261.67</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>630.84</td>
<td>42.28</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>358.13</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. The Results of Bonferroni Correction for the Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Mean Difference ± SD</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DBT-CBT</td>
<td>3.43 ± 1.02</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBT-Control</td>
<td>-6.24 ± 1.08</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBT-Control</td>
<td>-9.67 ± 1.05</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The efficacy of CBT and DBT skills training in reducing BPD symptoms shows that these methods can reduce the symptoms of this disorder. The literature review showed that dominant approaches for BPD treatment include promotion of interpersonal skills and self-efficacy and mastering the interpersonal aspects, as a major component of treatment. In some studies, DBT was effective in reducing impulsive behaviors, while in a study by Salavati, it was ineffective. The high efficacy of DBT has been revealed in some studies against suicidal behaviors. McEllean et al. showed that DBT is effective in reducing the symptoms of depression and grief (1). Fatehizadeh et al. (22) also showed that this approach is effective in reducing the symptoms of severe depression and increasing compatibility. The results of this study are inconsistent with those reported by Skill in 2000, showing the inefficacy of DBT in reducing the symptoms of depression and frustration and promotion of life satisfaction (1). The greater efficacy of DBT skills training in comparison to standard approaches against BPD can be attributed to behavioral changes, along with emotional changes in this approach. In addition, DBT skills training is less cognitive than traditional CBT approaches, as it claims that thoughts are less important than regulation of emotions. In other words, focus on emotional dysregulation, as a major symptom of BPD, is necessary. As research on the efficacy of CBT against personality disorders is limited, comparison of therapeutic approaches is necessary to understand the course of BPD.

5.1. Conclusions

The results of this study showed that DBT skills training has a more significant effect on reducing the symptoms of BPD in male patients, compared to CBT. Therefore, the results can be useful in the selection of proper methods in hospital settings by practitioners with the purpose of reducing the costs and duration of treatment. One of the limitations of this study was that the findings were generalized to all hospitalized male patients, and similar implementation of drug protocols was not possible. As these patients are at risk of suicide and self-mutilating behaviors, it was not ethical to leave the control group without treatment. In addition, our inability to control the confounding variables, such as memory, aptitude, intelligence, motivation, and socioeconomic status, is another limitation of this study. The efficacy of CBT and DBT skills training approaches should be compared with other therapeutic approaches. In addition, it is necessary to consider the sustainability of treatment effects in longer periods. Comparison of the efficacy of these approaches in hospitalized women with BPD is also recommended.
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